Rec'd PET/PTO 10 JAN 2005

PART COOPERATION TREATY



From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

То:		PCT		
Brentford, Middlesex TW8 9GSC GRANDE BRETAGNE Receiv	red BRENTFORD 7 OCT 2004	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Rule 71.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 08.10.2004		
Applicant's or agent's file reference: N/A RCS/PF4877 ATTY CH	ON THE DATE ON THE S	IMPO	ORTANT NOTIFICATION	
International application No. PCT/EP 03/07612	International filing date (da 11.07.2003	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12.07.2002	
Applicant GLAXO GROUP LIMITED et al.	<u> </u>			

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

<u>)</u>))

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Ambroa, J.R.

Tel. +49 89 2399-8012



Form PCT/IPEA/416 (January 2004)



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference RCS/PF4877			ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Notificatio Preliminary Ex	n of Transmittal of Internationa amination Report (Form PCT/I	al IPEA/416)	
International application No.				International filing date	(day/mon	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/yea	ur) _	
PCT/EP 03/07612 11.07.2003							12.07.2002		
ı	nation K31/		ent Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification	and IPC				
	icant AXO	GRO	UP LIMITED et al.						
1.	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 								
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.								
	Ø	hee	report is also accompar n amended and are the b Rule 70.16 and Section	pasis for this report and	lor sheet	ts containing re	on, claims and/or drawings actifications made before the he PCT).	which have his Authority	
	These annexes consist of a total of 8 sheets.								
								. · ·	
3.	This	repoi	t contains indications rel	lating to the following it	ems:				
	ı	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion						
	П		Priority						
			pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	IV		Lack of unity of invention						
	V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wit citations and explanations supporting such sta			ith regard atement	d to noveity, in	ventive step or Industrial ap	oplicability;		
	VI		Certain documents cite	od					
	VII		Certain defects in the in						
. 23. 34									
Date	Date of submission of the demand			Date of	completion of th	s report			
27.0	27.01.2004			08.10.	2004				
Name	Name and mailing address of the international			Authoriz	red Officer		Special Polange		
prelin	ninary	Eu	ning authority: ropean Patent Office			•		1 m	
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d			Johns	on, C					
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			Telepho	ne No. +49 89 2	399-8287	Ospes onto			

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/07612

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	scription, Pages				
	1-7	' 4	as originally filed			
	Cla	ims, Numbers				
	1-1	8	received on 07.09.2004 with letter of 03.09.2004			
2.	Wit	th regard to the lang t guage in which the in	rage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
		the language of a tr	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of pub	olication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a translated Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under .3).			
3.	Wit inte	th regard to any nucl e ernational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the inte	emational application in written form.			
		filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in written form.			
		furnished subseque	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that the listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.			
4.	The	e amendments have r	resulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			
5 .		This report has been been considered to	n established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		(Any replacement si report.)	heet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this			
6.	6. Additional observations, if necessary:					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/07612

111	. No	n-establishment of opinion w	vith re	gard to nove	etty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
1.	The	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- bvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international application,					
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1 (part)					
		because:					
		the said international applicat not require an international pr	ion, or elimina	the said clair ary examinat	ms Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does ion (specify):		
	×	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1 (part) are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		see separate sheet					
	Ø	the claims, or said claims Nos opinion could be formed.	s. 1 (pa	rt) are so ina	dequately supported by the description that no meaningful		
	☒	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1 (part)					
2.	or a	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ r amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative istructions:					
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.		
V.	. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Stat	ement					
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-18		
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-18		
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-18		
2.	Cita	tions and explanations					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/EP 03/07612

Non-establishment of opinion III.

In view of a lack of clarity and disclosure (Articles 5 and 6 PCT), claim 1 has only been searched insofar as the prodrugs are acetate, formate or benzoate derivatives of hydroxy, sulfhydryl or amine groups or ester derivatives of carboxylic acid groups. The following examination is performed for completely searched subject matter only.

V. Reasoned statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 2000, 10(16), 1803-1806

D2: US-A-6048900

Novelty

Compound 3 of D1 differs from the present claims because the linker group corresponding to present group E is n-pentylene.

The general formula in claim 1 of D2 overlaps with present formula (I). However, the present claims may be considered a novel selection, in which R⁵ is Ar¹piperidyl-n-butylene and R1 or R2 is Ar2-Ar3, as such a sub-group is not disclosed in D2.

Claims 1-18 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

Inventive step

The technical problem underlying the present application appears to be the provision of compounds useful in the treatment of hyperlipidemia. The compound of D1 is a weak chemokine receptor ligand. Those of D2 are useful in the treatment of obesity related disorders such as hyperlipidemia. D2 may therefore be taken as the closest prior art. Although the present compounds are formally encompassed by the general formula of D2, it would be clear to the skilled person that it is not credible that all compounds falling within the general formula (I) of D2 can have qualitatively equivalent activity - the general formula (I) is so broad it encompasses not only the polycylic compounds illustrated by the examples, but also simple acyclic compounds such as acetamide. The more specific teaching of D2. wherein the R¹-R⁵ substituents have the preferred definitions given in col. 3, l. 20 to col. 4, I. 19 does not encompass the present compounds because of the meaning of the Ar₁ group. Therefore it would not be obvious to solve the aboveformulated technical problem by providing the compounds according to claim 1. Thus those claimed compounds which have the alleged activity may be

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 1) (EPO-April 1997)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/EP 03/07612

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

considered inventive.

claims 1-18 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

Industrial applicability

Claims 1-18 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/409 (Sheet 2) (EPO-April 1997)